ISYE 7201: Production & Service Systems Spring 2023 Instructor: Spyros Reveliotis 2nd Midterm Exam (Take Home) Release Date: March 1, 2023 Due Date: March 5, 2023

While taking this exam, you are expected to observe the Georgia Tech Honor Code. In particular, no collaboration or other interaction among yourselves is allowed while taking the exam.

Please, send me your responses as a pdf file attached to an email. Name the pdf file by your last name (only). The pdf file can be a scan or photos of a hand-written document, but, please, write your answers clearly and thoroughly. Also, make sure that the pdf file is not too big; you can reduce the size of your file by loading it into Adobe Acrobat and saving it with the "reduced" size option before emailing it to me.

Finally, report any external sources (other than your textbook) that you referred to while preparing the solutions.

Problem 1 (20 points): Arrivals occur according to a Poisson process with rate λ . If there are *n* arrivals in the interval [0, T], compute the expected arrival time of the last arrival.

Problem 2 (20 pts): Suppose that an one-cell organism can be in one of two states: either A or B. An individual in state A will change to state B at an exponential rate α . An individual in state B divides into two new individuals of type A at an exponential rate β . At time t = 0, we have only one individual from this organism in state A.

- i. (10 pts) Define an appropriate Continuous-Time Markov Chain (CTMC) that traces the evolution of the population that will be generated by this cell over time. For this Markov chain, define carefully (i) the state space, (ii) the instantaneous rates of the exponential distributions that determine the sojourn times of the various states, and (iii) the one-step transition probability distribution that governs the transition out of each state.
- ii. (5 pts) Draw the State Transition Diagram (STD) of the CTMC defined in part (i).
- iii. (5 pts) Is the above CTMC ergodic?

Problem 3 (20 points): A small barbershop, operated by a single barber, has room for at most three customers. Potential customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate three customers per hour, and the customer service times are normally distributed with a mean of 15 minutes and st. deviation of 7 minutes. Arriving customers who find the shop full just leave.

- i. (5 pts) Model the operation of this barbershop as a Continuous-Time Markov Chain (CTMC) by approximating the service-time distribution with a 3-stage Erlang.
- ii. (5 pts) What is the average number of customers in this barbershop at steady-state?
- iii. (5 pts) What is the percentage of arriving customers that will actually receive service, when the barbershop operates in steady-state?
- iv. (5 pts) What is the expected total time in the barbershop for a customer who enters the barbershop under operation in steady-state?

Please, justify thoroughly all your answers and demonstrate clearly all your computations.

Problem 4 (20 points): Consider the CTMC that models the dynamics of the M/M/1 queue in page 80 of the Primer.

i. (10 pts) Uniformize this CTMC using the rate $v = \lambda + \mu$ and use the embedded DTMC of the uniformized CTMC to show that a necessary condition for the ergodicity of the original CTMC is

 $\lambda < \mu$

ii. (10 pts) Argue that the condition of part (i) is also sufficient.

Problem 5 (20 points): A shuttle moves among three locations. From location 1, the shuttle is directed half of the time towards location 2 and the remaining half towards location 3. From location 2, the shuttle is directed 1/3 of the time towards location 1 and 2/3 of the time towards location 3. From location 1. The mean travel times between the different locations are as follows: $t_{1,2} = 20 \text{ min}$, $t_{1,3} = 30 \text{ min}$ and $t_{2,3} = 30 \text{ min}$. Every time that it reaches a location, the shuttle departs immediately for its next location.

- i. (10 pts) What is the limiting probability that the shuttle's most recent stop was location i, i = 1, 2, 3?
- ii. (5pts) What is the limiting probability that the shuttle is heading to location 2?
- iii. (5 pts) What fraction of time is the shuttle traveling from 2 to 3?

Froblem 1

Let r.v. T⁽ⁿ⁾ denote the time of the n-11 available, and F⁽ⁿ⁾(t) and f⁽ⁿ⁾(t) the corresponding edg and pdf. Thus Then $P[T^{(n)} \leq t | N(T) = n] =$ VEELOTI, FM'(t) = $= P[N(t) = n \wedge N(T-t) = 0] / P[N(T) = n] =$ $= e^{-\Im t} \frac{(\Im t)^{n}}{n!} e^{-\Im (T+t)} \frac{(\Im (T-t))}{(\Im (T-t))} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{-\Im T} \frac{(\Im T)^{n}}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{ = e^{-\lambda T} \left(\lambda t \right)^n \left(e^{-\lambda T} \left(\lambda T \right)^n - \left(\frac{t}{T} \right)^n \right)$ (1) $\frac{\mathcal{A}_{log}}{\forall t \in [0,T]}, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{log}F^{(m)}(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{T^{m}} n t^{m-1}$ (2) <u>Remark</u>: for "sanity checking", notice also that (21 implies that $\int_0^T \int_0^{(n)} (t) dt = \int_0^T \frac{1}{T^n} n t^n dt =$ $= \frac{1}{\tau_n} \left[\mathcal{E} \right]_0^{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_n} \tau^n = 1.$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} & \left\{ T \right\}_{n}^{(n)} \left\{ T \right\}_{n}^{($$

Problem 2

ciji) the state of this stochastic process can be $\chi(t) = (\chi_A(t), \chi_B(t)),$ modeled as nhere Xa(t) = number of type. A individuals in -lee population at time t ×BCEL = number of type-B individuals in -lee population at time t. het (na, nB) denote a state of this stochastic process. Then, the transition out of this state constitutes an exponential race for the transformation of one member of the corresponding population of MATMB members. Itence, the total transition rate out from this state is $U(n_A N_B) = n_A \cdot \alpha + N_B \cdot \beta$ (1) * (MA-1, NB+1) W. P. MA.a (MA.a + NB-B) (21 * (nA+2, nB-L) W.P. MB.B/(nA.a+nR.B) (3/ Itence, the considered process is a CTMC with Its



(iii) It is clean from Eqs (21-(3) and the above STD that this (TMC dufts Continuously through states with increasing values of NA+NB, and therefore, it is not inveducible. There, it connot be regadic.

Problem 3

(i) We are asked to approximate the normal diste. N(15,72) will an Erlang (3, fr), where f is the vite I the Exponential dist. associated with each of the three stages. Let X denote the original r.v. and X its approximation. Then we must have: E[X] = E[X] =-> 15min = 3/p=> p= 1 min-1 = 60/5 hr-1 = 12 hr-1

The \$TO of the (TMC modeling the barbershop operation under the considered approximation is as films:

12 12 , 12 3 12 In the above STD, state (i,j), i=1,2,3, j=1,2,3, implies that there are i customers in the barbershop, and the currently secred customer is in the j-H stage of -Use Erlang diste. that models his service. Also the unit of the reported vates is hr". The infinitesimal generator of this (TMC is: 0 11 12 12 21 22 -3 3 -15 12 3 32 73 23 -15 12 3 -15 12 3 12 -15 3 -15 12 3 17 15 12 3 12 3 12 -15 -12 12 -12

Since the embedded DTMC is irreducible a limiting dishibiting P that is obtained by sating $\int \underline{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \mathbf{R} = 0$ $\int \underline{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{I}} = 1$ where I is the column rector with all its components equal to I.O. From this system of equations we get: $P_0 = 0.7353$ $P_{11} = 0.1310$; $P_{12} = 0.1048$. $P_{13} = 0.0838$ $P_{11} = 0.1310$; $P_{12} = 0.1048$. $P_{13} = 0.0838$ $b_1 = 0.0725$; $b_{22} = 0.0789$; $b_{23} = 0.0799$ $l_{21} = 0.0181 ; l_{32} = 0.0378 ; l_{33} = 0.0577$ (ii) This number is 0. Pot 1. (Pi+Pi2+Pi3) + 2(P2+P22+P23)+ + 3(121 + 132 + 133) = = 1.0.3196 + 2.6.2313 + 3.0.1137 = 1.1233

(iii) This is equal to the probability Het an avaining customer will not find the system full. This probability is equal to $1 - (P_{31} + P_{32} + P_{33}) = 1 - 0.1137 = 0.1863$.

Remark: A more thorough treatment of this question needs a result that is known an PASTA (Poisson Arrivels See Time Averages). We shall discuss this result in the (ming lectures.

(iv) This time can be computed on follows:

 $\begin{cases} P_{0} \cdot 1S + P_{11} (1S + 1S) + P_{12} (10 + 1S) + \\ + P_{13} (S + 1S) + P_{11} (1S + 1S + 1S) + P_{22} (10 + 1S + 1S) + \\ + P_{23} (S + 1S + 1S) \end{cases} / (P_{0} + P_{11} + P_{12} + P_{12} + \\ + P_{21} + P_{22} + P_{23}) = \end{cases}$

 $= \frac{1}{0.8863} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0.3353 \times 15 \pm 0.1310 \times 30 \pm 0.1048 \times 25 \pm \\ \pm 0.0838 \times 20 \pm 0.0725 \times 45 \pm 0.0789 \times 40 \pm \\ \pm 0.0799 \times 35 \right\} = 25.3532 \text{ min}$

The above computation computes the expected time in system in an entering customer by Considering the expected time in system in arrivals that occur at each of the nontholding states I the underlying CTMC. The division with (Pot Pirt --+ P23) turn, carl probability Pi appearing in the computation to the <u>conditional prot</u>. In the conrespencountered state was nonblocking. Also, the computation of the expected time in system for earl encountered state employe the memoryless projectly of the cap. dist. that is associated with the various proc. stages (i i). Furthermore, a thorough justification of Uni computation requires PASTA. Finally, this question can be answered in a simpler manner using <u>hittle's law</u> queueing systems.

hoblem 4

(i) The STO of the embedded DTM(o) the uniformized process is a films: This DTMC is irreducible, and it will be positive recurrent if and only if it has a stationary distribution $\overline{\Pi} = (\Pi_0, \Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots)$ This distribution must satisfy the following flow bulance equations: $\Pi_2 = \Pi_1 \frac{\gamma}{P} = \Pi_0 \left(\frac{\gamma}{P}\right)^2$ $\pi_{3} = \pi_{2} \frac{\gamma}{F} = \pi_{0} \left(\frac{\gamma}{F}\right)^{s}$ The 1+1 = The 1+1 -1 and more generally

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \overline{T}_{i} &= \overline{T}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{1/p} \right)^{i} \quad (1) \\ W_{i} & \text{mush also have:} \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \overline{T}_{i} = 1 \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \overline{T}_{i} \quad \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p} \right)^{i} = 1 \quad (2) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i} < \infty \quad (\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i} < \infty \quad (\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i} < \infty \quad (\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i} < \infty \quad (\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i} < \infty \quad (\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i} < \infty \quad (\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i} < \infty \quad (\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) < p. \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff) \\ & \overline{\Sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{1}{p} < 1 \iff)$$

Remark: An intuitive interpretation of the characterization of ECT. if the previous page is as follows: The inveducibility and the positive recurrence of the embedded DTMC of the uniformized procen implies that the length of the recurrence cycles w.r.t. state X; for this process is equal to 1/T. Furtleemore, the uniformized nature of the crusidered ETMC implies that the average sofrir time at every state visited during this Trecuzzence cycle is 1/0 = /(2+1/). Atence, the zesult.

Remark: tem the uniformization theory presented in class, we also know that if ICF, the stationary distribution TT of the inhedded PTMC of the uniformized (TMC is also the limiting distribution p of the original CTMC.

From Eqs (1) and (2),
$$T$$
 can be computed as
follows:
Set $p = \frac{1}{2} + c_1$ (3)
Then, from (2)
 $T_0 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i = 1 \iff T_0 \frac{1}{1-p} = 1 \iff$
 $(\Rightarrow T_0 = 1-p \quad (4)$
and from (1), (3) and (4)
 $fic \ 20, L, 2, ..., 3$, $T_i = (1-p)p^i$ (5)
Thence, T_i is a geometric distribution with
parameter $1-p$.

Problem 5: The one step transitional dynamics of the shuttle anymy the three tocations can be modeled as follows: The corresponding mester transition prot. matrix P is 23 13 12 1/2 1/2 P-12 13 1/3 2/3

Clearly, the considered DTMC is irreducible, and since it is finite state, it is also positive recurrent. Stence, it has a stationary distr. IT that must satisfy the filming equations:

Π, Ξ Π21 + Π31 TI12= 1/2TI, $\pi_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_1$ T.J = 2T. $\pi_{13} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_1$ $\overline{\mathbb{H}_2} = \frac{1}{2}\overline{\mathbb{H}_1}$ -) T21= 1/11, Π2 = 11,2 $\Pi_{23} = \frac{2}{(\Pi_{1})}$ $\Pi_{31} = \frac{5}{6} \pi_{1}$ 1315 TI21 = 2/3 11/2 TI23 =

Also, ZTT:=1 =1

 $= 1 \quad \Pi_{1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{$

=1 $\pi_1 = 6/23$

and $\Pi_{12} = \Pi_{13} = \Pi_2 = \frac{3}{23}; \quad \Pi_{21} = \frac{1}{23}\Pi_1; \quad \Pi_{23} = \frac{2}{23}\Pi_1;$ Π31- 5/23 Π,

The expected sojarn times of the various $T_1 = T_2 = 0 \min$ $T_{12} = T_{21} = 20 \text{ min}$ T13 = T71 = 30 min Z23 = 30 min The continuous-time dynamics of the shuffle among the three locations constitute a remi-Mardeov process with its limiting distribution p defined from Ti and Ti according to Eq. (3) in page 105 of the Kimer. First we have: え 川;て; = $= \frac{1}{23} \cdot 10 + \frac{3}{23} \cdot 20 + \frac{3}{23} \cdot 30 + \frac{3}{23} \cdot$ $\frac{3}{23} \cdot 10 + \frac{1}{23} \cdot 20 + \frac{2}{23} \cdot 30 + \frac{3}{23} \cdot 3$ $+ \frac{5}{23} \cdot 30 = \frac{380}{23}$

Itence, $P_1 = P_2 = 0$ $P_{12} = \frac{\frac{3}{23}20}{\frac{3}{30}/23} = \frac{6}{38}$ $P_{13} = \frac{\frac{3}{23}30}{\frac{310}{23}} = \frac{9}{37}$ 2/38 $P_{23} = \frac{\frac{2}{23} \cdot 30}{380/23}$ - 438 $P_{31} - \frac{5}{23}, 30}{380/23} - \frac{15/38}{38}$ Now we are ready to. answer the questions of the problem. (i) The corresponding probabilities are: P12 + P13 = 6/38 + 9/38 = 15/38 1: P21 + P23 = 2/38 + 6/38 = 8/38 = 4/19 2: P31 - 15/38 3:

(1) This probability is P12 = 6/38 = 3/19 (iii) This fraction of time is P23 = 5/38 = 3/19