

**ISyE 6739 — Summer 2017**  
**Homework #7 (Modules 3.3–3.4) — Solutions**

1. (Hines et al., 7-26. CLT.) 100 small bolts are packed in a box. Each weighs an average of 1 ounce, with a standard deviation of 0.1 ounce. Find the probability that a box weighs more than 102 ounces.

**Solution:** Let  $X_i$  be the weight of the  $i$ th bolt and let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{100} X_i$  be the weight of the box. Note that  $E(X_i) = 1$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_i) = 0.01$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 100$ .

Assuming that the  $X_i$ 's are independent, we use the central limit theorem to approximate the distribution of  $Y \sim \text{Nor}(100, 1)$ . Then

$$\Pr(Y > 102) = \Pr\left(Z > \frac{102 - 100}{1}\right) = 1 - \Phi(2) = 0.02275. \quad \diamond$$

2. (Hines et al., 7-29(a). CLT.) A production process produces items, of which 8% are defective. A random sample of 200 items is selected every day and the number of defective items  $X$  is counted. Using the normal approximation to the binomial, find  $\Pr(X \leq 16)$ .

**Solution:**  $p = 0.08$ ,  $n = 200$ ,  $np = 16$ ,  $\sqrt{npq} = 3.84$ . Let's incorporate the "continuity correction," and then the CLT:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X \leq 16) &= \Pr(X \leq 16.5) \\ &\approx \Pr\left(Z \leq \frac{16.5 - np}{\sqrt{npq}}\right) \quad (\text{where } Z \sim \text{Nor}(0, 1)) \\ &= \Pr\left(Z \leq \frac{16.5 - 16}{3.84}\right) \\ &= \Phi(0.13) = 0.55172. \quad \diamond \end{aligned}$$

3. (Hines et al., 7-37. lognormal.) The random variable  $Y = \ln(X)$  has a  $\text{Nor}(50, 25)$  distribution. Find the mean, variance, mode, and median of  $X$ .

**Solution:** I got these answers by directly plugging into the equations from the book. For example, in general,  $E[X] = \exp(\mu + \sigma^2/2) = e^{62.5}$ . And similarly,  $\text{Var}(X) = e^{125}(e^{25} - 1)$ ,  $\text{median}(X) = e^{50}$ ,  $\text{mode}(X) = e^{25}$ .  $\diamond$

## 4. Computer Exercises — Random Variate Generation

(a) Let's start out with something easy — the Uniform(0,1) distribution. To generate a Uniform(0,1) random variable in Excel, you simply type = RAND(). Copy an entire column of 100 of these guys and make a histogram. If things don't look particularly uniform, try the same exercise for 1000 observations. By the way, you can use the <F9> key to get an independent realization of your experiment.

(b) It's very easy to generate an Exponential(1) random variable in Excel. Just use

$$= -\text{LN}(\text{RAND}())$$

(This result uses the inverse transform method from Module 2.6.) Generate 1000 or so of these guys and make a nice histogram.

(c) In Excel, you can generate a Normal(0,1) random variable using

$$= \text{NORMINV}(\text{RAND}(), 0, 1) \quad (\text{inverse transform method})$$

or

$$= \text{SQRT}(-2 * \text{LN}(\text{RAND}())) * \text{COS}(2 * \text{PI}() * \text{RAND}()) \quad (\text{Box-Muller method})$$

Generate a bunch of normals using one of the above equations and make a histogram.

(d) Triangular distribution. Generate two columns of Uniform(0,1)'s. In the third column, add up the respective entries from the previous two columns, e.g., C1 = A1 + B1, etc. Make a histogram of the third column. Guess what you get?

**Solution:** You get a triangular p.d.f. Surprise!  $\diamond$

(e) Normal distribution from the Central Limit Theorem. Generate *twelve* columns of Uniform(0,1)'s. In the 13th column, add up the respective entries from the previous 12 columns. Make a histogram of the 13th column. Guess what you get this time?

**Solution:** You get what looks like a normal p.d.f. The CLT works!  $\diamond$

- (f) Cauchy distribution. It turns out that you can generate a Cauchy random variable as the ratio of two i.i.d.  $\text{Nor}(0,1)$ 's. Make a histogram and comment. Does the CLT work for this distribution?

**Solution:** You get a mess that has extreme values. If you zoom in towards  $x = 0$ , it looks vaguely normal — but the tails are way too fat to actually be normal. If you try to apply the CLT, it fails — in fact, you get another Cauchy. The reason for the CLT failure is that the variance of the Cauchy is infinite, thus violating one of the CLT assumptions.  $\diamond$