## Daniel Dadush<sup>1</sup> Santanu S. Dey<sup>1</sup> Juan Pablo Vielma<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H. Milton Stewart Industrial and Systems Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Business Analytics and Mathematical Sciences Department, IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA.

University of Florida, Gainesville, May 2010.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

## Outline

Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Definitions The CGC Question Discussion

#### Main Result and Proof Outline

Main Results High Level Proof Outline Proof of Step 1 Separation Lemmas and Their Use Proof of the First Separation Lemma Proof of the Second Separation Lemma Proof of Step 2

#### Conclusion

1 Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

L Definitions

### The Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed convex set and we are interested in 'nontrivial' convex relaxation of the set  $C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  that are 'easy to construct'.

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

L Definitions

## The Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed convex set and we are interested in 'nontrivial' convex relaxation of the set  $C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  that are 'easy to construct'.

1. Let  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq r$  be a valid inequality for *C* (i.e.  $C \subseteq \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle a, u \rangle \leq r\}$ ) such that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

L Definitions

# The Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed convex set and we are interested in 'nontrivial' convex relaxation of the set  $C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  that are 'easy to construct'.

- 1. Let  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq r$  be a valid inequality for *C* (i.e.  $C \subseteq \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle a, u \rangle \leq r\}$ ) such that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .
- 2. Since  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\langle a, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore the inequality

 $\langle a, x \rangle \leq \lfloor r \rfloor$ 

is a valid inequality for  $C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

L Definitions

## Chvátal-Gomory Cuts for Polyhedron

 $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x_1 \ge x_2 \ge 0, x_1 + x_2 \le 3, 5x - 3y \le 3\}$ Valid inequality for Continuous Relaxation:  $\underbrace{4x_1 + 3x_2}_{4x_1 + 3x_2} \le 10.5$ .

 $\in \mathbb{Z}$ 

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●



- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

L Definitions

## Chvátal-Gomory Cuts for Polyhedron

 $\begin{array}{l} \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x_1 \ge , x_2 \ge 0, x_1 + x_2 \le 3, 5x - 3y \le 3\} \\ \text{Valid inequality for Continuous Relaxation: } \underbrace{4x_1 + 3x_2}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \le 10.5. \end{array}$ This gives the following nontrivial valid inequality:  $4x_1 + 3x_2 \le |10.5|$ .



L Definitions

## Strictly Convex Sets

#### Definition

- 1. We say a set *C* is strictly convex if for all  $u, v \in C$ ,  $u \neq v$  we have that  $\lambda u + (1 \lambda)v \in \text{rel.int}(C)$  for all  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .
- 2. We say *C* is a strictly convex body if *C* is a full dimensional, strictly convex and a compact set.

L Definitions

## Strictly Convex Sets

#### Definition

- 1. We say a set *C* is strictly convex if for all  $u, v \in C$ ,  $u \neq v$  we have that  $\lambda u + (1 \lambda)v \in \text{rel.int}(C)$  for all  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .
- 2. We say *C* is a strictly convex body if *C* is a full dimensional, strictly convex and a compact set.

Almost always I will be concerned with strictly convex body.

The Chvátal-Gomory Closure of Strictly Convex Body
Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure
Definitions

Chvátal-Gomory Cuts for a Strictly Convex Body  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \| \begin{bmatrix} 0.375 & 0.125 \\ 0.125 & 0.375 \end{bmatrix} (x - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}) \| \le 1\}$ Valid inequality for Continuous Relaxation:  $\underbrace{x_1 + x_2}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \le \sqrt{8} + 4$ .

This gives the following nontrivial valid inequality:  $x_1 + x_2 \le \lfloor \sqrt{8} + 4 \rfloor$ .



The Chvátal-Gomory Closure of Strictly Convex Body
Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure
Definitions

Chvátal-Gomory Cuts for a Strictly Convex Body  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \| \begin{bmatrix} 0.375 & 0.125 \\ 0.125 & 0.375 \end{bmatrix} (x - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}) \| \le 1\}$ Valid inequality for Continuous Relaxation:  $\underbrace{x_1 + x_2}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \le \sqrt{8} + 4$ .

This gives the following nontrivial valid inequality:  $x_1 + x_2 \le \lfloor \sqrt{8} + 4 \rfloor$ .



- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

The CGC Question

## Chvátal-Gomory Closure

1. It is sufficient to restrict attention to supporting hyperplanes to obtain all interesting CG cuts.

・ロト (日下・モート・モー・ショー・ショー)

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

- The CGC Question

## Chvátal-Gomory Closure

- 1. It is sufficient to restrict attention to supporting hyperplanes to obtain all interesting CG cuts.
- 2. (Support Function) Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\sigma_C(a) := \sup\{\langle a, x \rangle \mid x \in C\}$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

- The CGC Question

## Chvátal-Gomory Closure

- 1. It is sufficient to restrict attention to supporting hyperplanes to obtain all interesting CG cuts.
- 2. (Support Function) Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\sigma_C(a) := \sup\{\langle a, x \rangle | x \in C\}$  $\Rightarrow \langle a, x \rangle \leq \sigma_C(a)$  is a supporting inequality for *C*.

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

- The CGC Question

## Chvátal-Gomory Closure

- 1. It is sufficient to restrict attention to supporting hyperplanes to obtain all interesting CG cuts.
- 2. (Support Function) Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\sigma_C(a) := \sup\{\langle a, x \rangle | x \in C\}$  $\Rightarrow \langle a, x \rangle \leq \sigma_C(a)$  is a supporting inequality for *C*.
- **3**. For any subset  $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  we define,

$$CGC(D, C) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \bigcap_{a \in D} \langle a, x \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma_C(a) \rfloor \}$$

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

- The CGC Question

## Chvátal-Gomory Closure

- 1. It is sufficient to restrict attention to supporting hyperplanes to obtain all interesting CG cuts.
- 2. (Support Function) Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\sigma_C(a) := \sup\{\langle a, x \rangle | x \in C\}$  $\Rightarrow \langle a, x \rangle \leq \sigma_C(a)$  is a supporting inequality for *C*.
- 3. For any subset  $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  we define,

$$CGC(D, C) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \bigcap_{a \in D} \langle a, x \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma_C(a) \rfloor \}$$

4.  $CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$  is called the Chvátal-Gomory closure.

- Introduction: Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

- The CGC Question

## Chvátal-Gomory Closure

- 1. It is sufficient to restrict attention to supporting hyperplanes to obtain all interesting CG cuts.
- 2. (Support Function) Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\sigma_C(a) := \sup\{\langle a, x \rangle | x \in C\}$  $\Rightarrow \langle a, x \rangle \leq \sigma_C(a)$  is a supporting inequality for *C*.
- **3**. For any subset  $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  we define,

$$CGC(D, C) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \bigcap_{a \in D} \langle a, x \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma_C(a) \rfloor \}$$

4.  $CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$  is called the Chvátal-Gomory closure.

Is  $CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$  a polyhedron?

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

L Discussion

## What We Know?

## Theorem (Chvátal (1973), Schrijver (1980)) Let *C* be a rational polyhedron. Then $CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ is a polyhedron.

L Discussion

## What We Know?

## Theorem (Chvátal (1973), Schrijver (1980)) Let C be a rational polyhedron. Then $CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ is a polyhedron. Let $C^1 := CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ . Let $C^2 := CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C^1)$ . Let $C^3 := CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C^2)$ .

## Theorem (Chvátal (1973), Schrijver (1980))

Let *C* be a rational polyhedron or any bounded convex set, then there exists an integer *k* such that  $c^k$  is the convex hull of the integer feasible points.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

L Discussion

## What We Know?

# Theorem (Chvátal (1973), Schrijver (1980)) Let C be a rational polyhedron. Then $CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ is a polyhedron. Let $C^1 := CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ . Let $C^2 := CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C^1)$ . Let $C^3 := CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C^2)$ .

## Theorem (Chvátal (1973), Schrijver (1980))

Let *C* be a rational polyhedron or any bounded convex set, then there exists an integer *k* such that  $c^k$  is the convex hull of the integer feasible points.

Unfortunately, Theorem 2 does not imply Theorem 1. For example:

If C is irrational polytope, then we do not know if  $CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$  a polytope.

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Main Results

#### Main Results

Theorem Let C be a strictly convex body. Then cgc(C) is a rational polytope.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Main Results

#### Main Results

#### Theorem Let C be a strictly convex body. Then cgc(C) is a rational polytope.

#### Theorem

Let C be a strictly convex body and P a rational polyhedron. Then  $CGC(C \cap P)$  is a rational polytope.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

## 2 Proof outline

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Main Result and Proof Outline

High Level Proof Outline

### **Proof Outline**

Figure: A procedure to generate the CG closure for a strictly convex body C

- Step 1 Show that it is possible to construct a polytope *Q* defined by a finite number of CG cuts such that:
  - $Q \subset C$ .
  - ▶  $Q \cap \operatorname{bnd}(C) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

- Main Result and Proof Outline

High Level Proof Outline

## **Proof Outline**

Figure: A procedure to generate the CG closure for a strictly convex body C

- Step 1 Show that it is possible to construct a polytope *Q* defined by a finite number of CG cuts such that:
  - $\blacktriangleright Q \subset C.$
  - ▶  $Q \cap \operatorname{bnd}(C) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ .
- Step 2 Update *Q* with CG cuts that separate points of  $Q \setminus CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ : Show that there can exist only a finite number of such cuts are possible.

- Main Result and Proof Outline

High Level Proof Outline

## **Proof Outline**

Figure: A procedure to generate the CG closure for a strictly convex body C

- Step 1 Show that it is possible to construct a polytope *Q* defined by a finite number of CG cuts such that:
  - ▶  $Q \subset C$ .
  - ▶  $Q \cap \operatorname{bnd}(C) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ .
- Step 2 Update *Q* with CG cuts that separate points of  $Q \setminus CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ : Show that there can exist only a finite number of such cuts are possible.

Proof of Step 1

Outline of Step 1: Separate All Points on the Boundary 'Smartly'

Lemma (Fractional Points on the Boundary) If  $u \in bnd(C) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then there exists a CG cut that separates point u. - Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of Step 1

Outline of Step 1: Separate All Points on the Boundary 'Smartly'

Lemma (Fractional Points on the Boundary)

If  $u \in bnd(C) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then there exists a CG cut that separates point u.

In case of rational polyhedron, there is a similar result that essentially says that if P is a rational polyhedron and F is an face, then

 $\operatorname{CGC}(\mathbb{Z}^n, P) \cap F = \operatorname{CGC}(\mathbb{Z}^n, F).$ 

However, this proof does not carry though here.

Proof of Step 1

Outline of Step 1: Separate All Points on the Boundary 'Smartly'

Lemma (Fractional Points on the Boundary) If  $u \in bnd(C) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then there exists a CG cut that separates point u.

Lemma (Integral Points on the Boundary)

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a strictly convex body and  $u \in bnd(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then

1. There exists a polyhedral cone  $T'(u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle c_i, x \rangle \le 0, 1 \le i \le k\}$  such that

$$c_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$
 and  $\lfloor \sigma_C(c_i) \rfloor = \langle c_i, u \rangle \quad \forall i, 1 \le i \le k$  (1)

and

$$T'(u) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(T_{\mathcal{C}}(u)) \cup \{0\}$$
(2)

2. There exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of u such that

$$\mathcal{N} \cap \mathsf{bnd}(\mathcal{C}) \cap (u + T'(u)) = \{u\}$$

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of Step 1

## Illustration of Second Lemma



Proof of Step 1

## Constructing Q Using a Compactness Argument

The Boundary is compact



### Constructing Q Using a Compactness Argument

The new set obtained by removing some open sets from the boundary is compact



### Constructing Q Using a Compactness Argument

Since every point is separated, there exists a finite sub collection that separates every point + finite number of cuts separating neighborhood of integer points



- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of Step 1

## Constructing Q Using a Compactness Argument

 $Q \subset C, Q \cap \mathsf{Bnd}(C) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ 



э

2.1 Proof of the First Separation Lemma

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - わへで
Proof of the First Separation Lemma

# Separation: Easy Case

1. Select a fractional point u on the boundary and let s(u) be a vector in the normal cone, i.e.

 $\langle s(u), x \rangle \leq \sigma_{C}(s(u)) = \langle s(u), u \rangle$  be the valid inequality which is tight at u

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほう 二日

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

# Separation: Easy Case

1. Select a fractional point u on the boundary and let s(u) be a vector in the normal cone, i.e.

 $\langle s(u), x \rangle \leq \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(s(u)) = \langle s(u), u \rangle$  be the valid inequality which is tight at u

2. If there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that 2.1  $\lambda s(u) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and 2.2  $\sigma_C(\lambda s(u)) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ 

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

# Separation: Easy Case

1. Select a fractional point u on the boundary and let s(u) be a vector in the normal cone, i.e.

 $\langle s(u), x \rangle \leq \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(s(u)) = \langle s(u), u \rangle$  be the valid inequality which is tight at u

- 2. If there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that 2.1  $\lambda s(u) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and 2.2  $\sigma_C(\lambda s(u)) \notin \mathbb{Z}$
- 3. Then generate the CG cut

 $\langle \lambda s(u), u \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma_{C}(\lambda s(u)) \rfloor$ 

that separates u.

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

# Separation: Difficult Cases

Given  $u \in bnd(C)$ , for all  $\lambda > 0$  such that

- 1.  $\lambda s(u) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$
- **2.** but  $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda s(u)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### Example

Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ . We have that the supporting inequality for u can be is  $5x_1 + 12x_2 \le 65$ . Because 5 and 12 are coprime and  $\sigma_C(\cdot)$  is positively homogeneous, (5, 12) cannot be scaled so that  $\lambda(5, 12) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\sigma_C(\lambda(5, 12)) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● のへで

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

# Separation: Difficult Cases

Given  $u \in bnd(C)$ , for all  $\lambda > 0$  such that

- 1.  $\lambda s(u) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$
- **2.** but  $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda s(u)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### Example

Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ . We have that the supporting inequality for u can be is  $5x_1 + 12x_2 \le 65$ . Because 5 and 12 are coprime and  $\sigma_C(\cdot)$  is positively homogeneous, (5, 12) cannot be scaled so that  $\lambda(5, 12) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\sigma_C(\lambda(5, 12)) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

Given  $u \in bnd(C)$ , there does not exist  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\lambda s(u) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

#### Example

Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \le 1\}$  and  $u = (1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)^T \in bnd(C)$ . We have that the supporting inequality for u is  $\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x_2 \le 1$ . Since  $(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$  is irrational in only one component, observe that it cannot be scaled to be integral.

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

# Separation in Difficult Cases

Given  $u \in bnd(C)$  such that the supporting hyperplane does not provide a CG cut. <u>Idea:</u> Construct a sequence  $\{s^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that there exists some N such that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{s}^{N}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle > \lfloor \sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}) \rfloor$$
 (3)

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Separation in Difficult Cases

<

Given  $u \in bnd(C)$  such that the supporting hyperplane does not provide a CG cut.

<u>Idea:</u> Construct a sequence  $\{s^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that there exists some N such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{N},\boldsymbol{u} \rangle > \lfloor \sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}) \rfloor \\ \Leftrightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{N},\boldsymbol{u} \rangle > \sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}) - \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N})) \\ \Leftrightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{N},\boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}) + \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N})) > 0. \end{array}$$

$$(3)$$

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Separation in Difficult Cases

Given  $u \in bnd(C)$  such that the supporting hyperplane does not provide a CG cut.

<u>Idea:</u> Construct a sequence  $\{s^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that there exists some N such that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{s}^{N}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle > \lfloor \sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}) \rfloor$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{N}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle > \sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}) - \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{N}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N}) + \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{C}(\boldsymbol{s}^{N})) > 0.$$

$$(3)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

To obtain (3), we construct sequence that satisfy the following property:

- 1.  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \langle s^i, u \rangle \sigma_C(s^i) = 0$
- 2.  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathcal{F}(\sigma_C(s^i)) = \delta > 0$

Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Example

▶ Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||x|| \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ .

・ロト (日下・モート・モー・ショー・ショー)

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Example

▶ Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||x|| \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ . Then  $N_C(u) = cone(\{(5, 12)^T\}).$ 

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Example

- ▶ Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||x|| \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ . Then  $N_C(u) = cone(\{(5, 12)^T\}).$
- ▶ We can select  $s = (5, 12)^T \in N_C(u)$  and approximate *s* with sequence  $\{s^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $s^i = (65i^2, 26i + 156i^2)^T$ . Then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\boldsymbol{s}^i}{||\boldsymbol{s}^i||}=\frac{\boldsymbol{s}}{||\boldsymbol{s}||},$$

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほう 二日

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Example

- ▶ Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||x|| \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ . Then  $N_C(u) = cone(\{(5, 12)^T\}).$
- ▶ We can select  $s = (5, 12)^T \in N_C(u)$  and approximate *s* with sequence  $\{s^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $s^i = (65i^2, 26i + 156i^2)^T$ . Then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\boldsymbol{s}^i}{||\boldsymbol{s}^i||}=\frac{\boldsymbol{s}}{||\boldsymbol{s}||},$$

but  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \langle \boldsymbol{s}^i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^i) = -\infty$ .

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほう 二日

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Example

- ▶ Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||x|| \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ . Then  $N_C(u) = cone(\{(5, 12)^T\}).$
- ▶ We can select  $s = (5, 12)^T \in N_C(u)$  and approximate *s* with sequence  $\{s^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $s^i = (65i^2, 26i + 156i^2)^T$ . Then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\boldsymbol{s}^i}{||\boldsymbol{s}^i||}=\frac{\boldsymbol{s}}{||\boldsymbol{s}||},$$

but  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \langle \boldsymbol{s}^i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^i) = -\infty.$ 

► Consider  $s^i = (65i, 26 + 156i)^T$ . Then  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \langle s^i, u \rangle - \sigma_C(s^i) = 0$ . Unfortunately,  $\langle s^i, u \rangle - \sigma_C(s^i) \leq -F(\sigma(s^i))$  and hence  $\langle s^i, u \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma(s^i) \rfloor$  for all *i*.

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Example

- ▶ Let  $C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||x|| \le 5\}$  and  $u = (25/13, 60/13)^T \in bnd(C)$ . Then  $N_C(u) = cone(\{(5, 12)^T\}).$
- ▶ We can select  $s = (5, 12)^T \in N_C(u)$  and approximate *s* with sequence  $\{s^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $s^i = (65i^2, 26i + 156i^2)^T$ . Then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\boldsymbol{s}^i}{||\boldsymbol{s}^i||}=\frac{\boldsymbol{s}}{||\boldsymbol{s}||},$$

but  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \langle \boldsymbol{s}^i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^i) = -\infty.$ 

- ► Consider  $s^i = (65i, 26 + 156i)^T$ . Then  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \langle s^i, u \rangle \sigma_C(s^i) = 0$ . Unfortunately,  $\langle s^i, u \rangle - \sigma_C(s^i) \leq -F(\sigma(s^i))$  and hence  $\langle s^i, u \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma(s^i) \rfloor$  for all *i*.
- Perturb slightly  $s^i = (65i, 25 + 156i)^T$ , which works:  $\langle s^3, u \rangle > \lfloor \sigma(s^3) \rfloor$ .

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

### Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Separation: Difficult case

### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

**Construction** 

▶ Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Separation: Difficult case

### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

### **Construction**

- ▶ Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

### **Construction**

- Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Let s' = p' + e', where p' comes from the Dirichlet approximation of *s*.

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

### Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

#### **Construction**

- ▶ Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Let  $s^i = p^i + e^i$ , where  $p^i$  comes from the Dirichlet approximation of *s*. Then:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. & 0 \geq \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i}) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \boldsymbol{0}, \\ 2. & \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i})) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{u}_{l}) > \boldsymbol{0}. \end{array}$$

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

### **Construction**

- ▶ Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- ► Let s<sup>i</sup> = p<sup>i</sup> + e<sup>i</sup>, where p<sup>i</sup> comes from the Dirichlet approximation of s. Then:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. & 0 \geq \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i}) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \boldsymbol{0}, \\ 2. & \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i})) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{u}_{l}) > \boldsymbol{0}. \end{array}$$

 $\mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i}))$ 

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

## Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

### **Construction**

- Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- ► Let s<sup>i</sup> = p<sup>i</sup> + e<sup>i</sup>, where p<sup>i</sup> comes from the Dirichlet approximation of s. Then:

1. 
$$0 \ge \langle s^i, u \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(s^i) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0,$$
  
2.  $\mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(s^i)) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_l) > 0.$ 

 $\mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i})) \approx \mathcal{F}(\langle \boldsymbol{s}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle)$ 

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

### Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

#### **Construction**

- ▶ Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Let  $s^i = p^i + e^i$ , where  $p^i$  comes from the Dirichlet approximation of *s*. Then:

1. 
$$0 \ge \langle s^i, u \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(s^i) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0,$$
  
2.  $\mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(s^i)) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_l) > 0.$ 

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i})) pprox \mathcal{F}(\langle \boldsymbol{p}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} 
angle) = \mathcal{F}(\langle \boldsymbol{p}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} 
angle) = \mathcal{F}(\langle \boldsymbol{p}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} 
angle + \langle \boldsymbol{e}^{l}, \boldsymbol{u} 
angle)$$

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

### Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

#### **Construction**

- ▶ Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Let  $s^i = p^i + e^j$ , where  $p^i$  comes from the Dirichlet approximation of *s*. Then:

1. 
$$0 \ge \langle s^i, u \rangle - \sigma_C(s^i) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0$$
,  
2.  $\mathcal{F}(\sigma_C(s^i)) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_l) > 0$ .

$$egin{split} \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(m{s}^{i})) & \approx \mathcal{F}(\langlem{p}^{i},m{u}
angle) = \mathcal{F}(\langlem{p}^{i},m{u}
angle) = \mathcal{F}(\langlem{p}^{i},m{u}
angle + \langlem{e}^{l},m{u}
angle) & lpha & \mathcal{F}(\langlem{p}^{i},m{u}
angle + \langlem{e}^{l},m{u}
angle)) \end{split}$$

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the First Separation Lemma

### Separation: Difficult case

#### Theorem (Dirichlet)

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists  $\{p^i, q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \le q_i \le i^n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |p_j^i - q_i s_j| \le \frac{1}{i}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} q_i = +\infty$ .

#### **Construction**

- Since  $u \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $u_l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Scale s(u), that is let  $s = \lambda s(u)$  such that  $\langle s, u \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Let  $s^i = p^i + e^j$ , where  $p^i$  comes from the Dirichlet approximation of *s*. Then:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. & 0 \geq \langle \boldsymbol{s}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i}) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \boldsymbol{0}, \\ 2. & \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i})) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{u}_{l}) > \boldsymbol{0}. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{s}^{i})) &\approx \mathcal{F}(\langle \boldsymbol{s}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle) = \mathcal{F}(\langle \boldsymbol{p}^{i} + \boldsymbol{e}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle) = \mathcal{F}(\langle \boldsymbol{p}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{e}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle) \\ &\approx \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{q}_{i} \langle \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{e}^{i}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle)) = \mathcal{F}(\underbrace{\boldsymbol{q}_{i} \langle \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle}_{\in \mathbb{Z}}) + \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{u}_{l}). \end{split}$$

2.2 Proof of the Second Separation Lemma

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - わへで

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the Second Separation Lemma

# The Second Separation Lemma

#### Proposition

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a strictly convex body. Take  $u \in bnd(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then for every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus int(T_C(u)), v \neq 0$ , there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that

 $\langle a, u \rangle = \lfloor \sigma_{C}(a) \rfloor$  and  $\langle a, v \rangle > 0$ 

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the Second Separation Lemma

# The Second Separation Lemma

#### Proposition

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a strictly convex body. Take  $u \in bnd(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then for every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus int(T_C(u)), v \neq 0$ , there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that

$$\langle a, u \rangle = \lfloor \sigma_C(a) \rfloor$$
 and  $\langle a, v \rangle > 0$ 

The construction of a uses Dirichlet approximation.

- Main Result and Proof Outline

Proof of the Second Separation Lemma

## The Second Separation Lemma

#### Proposition

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a strictly convex body. Take  $u \in bnd(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then for every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus int(T_C(u)), v \neq 0$ , there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that

$$\langle a, u \rangle = \lfloor \sigma_C(a) \rfloor$$
 and  $\langle a, v \rangle > 0$ 

- The construction of a uses Dirichlet approximation.
- We use another compactness argument to obtain the second separation lemma.

Proof of Step 2

## Back to Proof Outline

Figure: A procedure to generate the CG closure for a strictly convex body C

- Step 1 Show that it is possible to construct a polytope *Q* defined by a finite number of CG cuts such that:
  - $\blacktriangleright Q \subset C.$
  - ▶  $Q \cap \operatorname{bnd}(C) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ .
- Step 2 Update *Q* with CG cuts that separate points of  $Q \setminus CGC(\mathbb{Z}^n, C)$ : Show that there can exist only a finite number of such cuts are possible.

# Proof of Step 2

1. Let V be the set of vertices of Q. We have that

 $bnd(C) \cap V \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

1. Let V be the set of vertices of Q. We have that

 $bnd(C) \cap V \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

Hence any CG cut that separates u ∈ Q \ CGC(Z<sup>n</sup>, C) must also separate a point in V \ bnd(C).

# Proof of Step 2

1. Let V be the set of vertices of Q. We have that

 $bnd(C) \cap V \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

- Hence any CG cut that separates u ∈ Q \ CGC(Z<sup>n</sup>, C) must also separate a point in V \ bnd(C).
- It is then sufficient to show that the set of CG cuts that separates some point in V \ bnd(C) is finite.

# Proof of Step 2

1. Let V be the set of vertices of Q. We have that

$$bnd(C) \cap V \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$$

- Hence any CG cut that separates u ∈ Q \ CGC(Z<sup>n</sup>, C) must also separate a point in V \ bnd(C).
- It is then sufficient to show that the set of CG cuts that separates some point in V \ bnd(C) is finite.
- 4. Because  $V \setminus bnd(C) \subset C \setminus bnd(C)$  and  $|V| < \infty$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$B(v,\varepsilon) \subseteq C \quad \forall v \in V \setminus bnd(C).$$
(4)

Now, if a CG cut  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(a) \rfloor$  has  $||a|| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  then it cannot separate  $\nu$ .

# Proof of Step 2

1. Let V be the set of vertices of Q. We have that

$$bnd(C) \cap V \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$$

- Hence any CG cut that separates u ∈ Q \ CGC(Z<sup>n</sup>, C) must also separate a point in V \ bnd(C).
- It is then sufficient to show that the set of CG cuts that separates some point in V \ bnd(C) is finite.
- 4. Because  $V \setminus bnd(C) \subset C \setminus bnd(C)$  and  $|V| < \infty$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$B(v,\varepsilon) \subseteq C \quad \forall v \in V \setminus bnd(C). \tag{4}$$

Now, if a CG cut  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq \lfloor \sigma_{C}(a) \rfloor$  has  $||a|| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  then it cannot separate *v*.

5. The set of integer vectors such that  $||a|| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$  is finite.

- Conclusion



- 1. General bounded convex sets.
- 2. Unbounded sets, where convex hull of integer feasible points is a polyhedron.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

- Conclusion

### Thank You.