

1. **The Little Grothendieck Inequality.** We prove the little Grothendieck inequality: For every positive semidefinite matrix A the following inequality holds:

$$\max_{x_i \in \{\pm 1\}} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i x_j \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \max_{\|v_i\|_2=1} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle.$$

Consider the set of unit vectors v_i that maximizes the right hand side. Pick a random Gaussian vector g , and let $x_i = \text{sgn}(\langle v_i, g \rangle)$. We want to show that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i x_j \right] \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle. \quad (1)$$

- (a) Prove that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \langle g, v_i \rangle \cdot \langle g, v_j \rangle \right] = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle.$$

- (b) Compute $\mathbf{E}[|g_1|]$, where g_1 is a (one dimensional) Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and standard deviation 1 i.e. $g_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
(c) Show that for all unit vectors u and v :

$$\mathbf{E}[\text{sgn}(\langle u, g \rangle) \cdot \langle v, g \rangle] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \langle u, v \rangle.$$

(Note that the left hand side is not symmetric with respect to u and v .)

- (d) Prove that for every λ ,

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} (\langle v_i, g \rangle - \lambda \text{sgn}(\langle v_i, g \rangle)) \cdot (\langle v_j, g \rangle - \lambda \text{sgn}(\langle v_j, g \rangle)) \right] \geq 0.$$

- (e) Using parts (a), (c), and (d) prove (1).

2. **Isometric Embeddings of ℓ_2 .** We show that every n -point subset of ℓ_2 isometrically embeds into ℓ_1 . To this end, we define an L_1 norm on functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} . We let

$$\|f\|_{L_1} = \mathbf{E}[|f(g)|],$$

where g is an n -dimensional Gaussian vector. Note that the expectation on the right hand side may be undefined. The distance between two functions f_1 and f_2 equals

$$\|f_1 - f_2\|_{L_1} = \mathbf{E}[|f_1(g) - f_2(g)|].$$

- (a) Show that the L_1 norm on functions satisfies the triangle inequality. That is,

$$\|f_1 - f_3\|_{L_1} \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_{L_1} + \|f_2 - f_3\|_{L_1}$$

for all functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 assuming that the norms above are defined.

- (b) Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -point subset of n -dimensional Euclidean space. Construct a map $v \mapsto f_v$ from V to the space of functions such that for all $u, v \in V$:

$$\|f_u - f_v\|_{L_1} = \|u - v\|_2.$$

Hint: Compute $\|f_u - f_v\|_{L_1}$ for $f_v(x) = \langle x, v \rangle$ (here $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$).

- (c) Now we embed functions f_v , constructed in the previous part, into ℓ_1 . For every ordering of vertices π (i.e., a one-to-one map from $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to V) define a set \mathcal{E}_π as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_\pi = \{x : f_{\pi(i)}(x) \leq f_{\pi(i+1)}(x) \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Define a map φ from the set of functions f_v to an $n!$ dimensional space as follows:

$$\varphi_\pi(f_v) = \mathbf{E}[f_v(g) \cdot \mathbf{1}(g \in \mathcal{E}_\pi)],$$

here $\varphi_\pi(f_v)$ is the π coordinate of $\varphi(f_v)$; $\mathbf{1}(g \in \mathcal{E}_\pi)$ is the indicator of the event $\{g \in \mathcal{E}_\pi\}$. Prove that

$$\|\varphi(f_u) - \varphi(f_v)\|_1 = \|f_u - f_v\|_{L_1}.$$

- (d) Use all parts above to show that every n -point subset of Euclidean space embeds isometrically into ℓ_1 .
3. (From the book of Williamson and Shmoys.) In the maximum k -cut problem, we are given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, and non-negative weights $w_{ij} > 0$ for all $(i, j) \in E$. The goal is to partition the vertex set V into k parts V_1, \dots, V_k so as to maximize the weight of all edges whose endpoints are in different parts. Give a $(k - 1)/k$ -approximation algorithm for the MAX k -CUT problem.
4. **Initialization of Interior Point Methods.** Given an original linear program as follows. Here A is an integral $m \times n$ matrix which has full row rank and b and c are integral vectors

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1: Original Linear Program

of length m and n . Let

$$L = \log(\text{largest absolute value of the determinant of a square submatrix of } A + 1) + \log(1 + \max_j |c_j|) + \log(1 + \max_i |b_i|) + 3.$$

Let $\alpha = 2^{4L}$ and $\lambda = 2^{2L}$. Let $K_b = \alpha\lambda(n+1) - \lambda c^T e$ where e is all ones vector and $K_c = \alpha\lambda$. Now consider the augmented linear program.

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x + K_c x_{n+2} \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ & Ax + (b - \lambda A e)x_{n+2} = b \\ & (\alpha e - c)^T x + \alpha x_{n+1} = K_b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 2: Modified Linear Program

- (a) Construct the dual for the the modified linear program where we have the dual variables y_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$ for the original m constraints and y_{m+1} for the new constraint added in the following form where $\hat{b}, \hat{A}, \hat{c}$ need to be defined. Moreover, let $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ denote the slack variables.

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \hat{b}^T y \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ & \hat{A}y + s = \hat{c} \\ & s \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 3: Modified Linear Program

- (b) Let $x^* = (\lambda, \dots, \lambda, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, $y^* = (0, \dots, 0, -1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and let slack variables $s^* = (\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \alpha\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Show x^* is feasible for modified primal LP and y^* is feasible for its dual and s^* are the corresponding slack variables for the dual constraints, i.e., $\hat{A}y^* + s^* = \hat{c}$. Also verify that $x_i^* s_i^* = \alpha\lambda$ for each i and therefore they are on the central path with $\mu = \alpha\lambda$.
- (c) Since modified primal and modified dual have feasible solutions, they will have optimal solutions. Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{s}$ denote the optimal primal and dual solutions. Show the following.
- i. If $\bar{x}_{n+2} = 0$ and $\bar{s}_{n+1} = 0$, then $x' = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ is optimal for original primal and $y' = (\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m)$ is dual optimal with slack variables $s' = (\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n)$.
 - ii. If $\bar{x}_{n+2} \neq 0$ and $\bar{s}_{n+1} = 0$, then original primal is infeasible.
 - iii. If $\bar{s}_{n+1} \neq 0$ and $\bar{x}_{n+2} = 0$, then original primal is unbounded.