

Recap

- Last class (January 30, 2007)
 - Infinitely repeated games
 - Prisoner's dilemma
 - Friedman's Theorem
- Today (February 1, 2007)
 - Friedman's Theorem
 - Repeated Cournot game
 - Wage Setting

1

Example: Wage setting

- Stage game
 - One firm, one worker
 - The firm offers the worker a wage, w
 - Then the worker accepts or rejects the firm's offer
 - Reject: the worker becomes self-employed at wage w_0
 - Accept: Work (disutility e), or Shirk (disutility 0)
 - If the worker works (supplies effort): Output is high= y
 - If the worker shirks: Output is high with probability p , and low=0 with probability $1-p$
 - The firm does not observe the worker's effort decision
 - The output of the worker is observed by both parties

2

Example: Wage setting (cont.)

- Payoffs (Firm, Worker)
 - Work (Supply effort)
 - High output: $(y-w, w-e)$
 - Shirk
 - High output: $(y-w, w)$
 - Low output: $(-w, w)$
 - We assume $y - e > w_0 > py$
- What is the subgame-perfect equilibrium in this stage game?
 - For any $w \geq w_0$, worker accepts employment and shirks
 - Firm offers $w=0$ (or any other $w < w_0$), and the worker chooses self-employment

3

Example: Wage setting (cont.)

- Strategies
 - Firm: Offer $w=w^*$ in the first stage.
In stage t ,
 - offer $w=w^*$ if the history of play is *high-wage, high-output* (all previous offers have been w^* , all previous offers have been accepted, and all previous outputs have been high)
 - otherwise, offer $w=0$
 - Worker:
 - If $w > w_0$, accept the firm's offer and supply effort if the history of play, including the current offer, is high-wage, high-output (shirk otherwise)
 - If $w < w_0$, choose self-employment

4

Example: Wage setting (cont.)

- Suppose firm offers $w^* \geq w_0$
 - Worker accepts
 - Work (Supply effort)

$$V_e = (w^* - e) + \delta V_e \rightarrow V_e = (w^* - e)/(1 - \delta)$$
 - Shirk

$$V_s = w^* + \delta(pV_s + (1-p)w_0/(1-\delta)) \rightarrow$$

$$V_s = [(1-\delta)w^* + \delta(1-p)w_0]/(1-\delta p)(1-\delta)$$
 - Worker should supply effort if $V_e \geq V_s \rightarrow$

$$w^* \geq w_0 + e + e(1-\delta)/\delta(1-p)$$

If $p=0$: $(w^* - e)/(1 - \delta) \geq w^* + w_0\delta/(1 - \delta)$

If p is close to 1?

5

Example: Wage setting (cont.)

- Firm choices:
 - Pay w^*
 - Pay $w = 0$
- When is it the best response for the firm to offer w^* ?
 - From worker's best response

$$w^* \geq w_0 + e + e(1-\delta)/\delta(1-p) \quad (1)$$
 - We also need $y - w^* \geq 0$, or $y \geq w^*$

$$\rightarrow y \geq w_0 + e + e(1-\delta)/\delta(1-p) \quad (2)$$

The strategies induce a NE if (1) and (2) hold.

Is this a SPNE?

6



Example: Wage setting (cont.)

- What are the subgames?
 - Subgames beginning after a high-wage, high-output history
 - Subgames beginning after all other histories