

# First-Order Conditions for Isolated Locally Optimal Solutions<sup>1</sup>

A. SHAPIRO<sup>2</sup> AND F. AL-KHAYYAL<sup>2</sup>

Communicated by O. L. Mangasarian

**Abstract.** There are well-known first-order sufficient conditions for a point  $x_0$  to be a strict locally optimal solution of a nonlinear programming problem. In this paper, we show that these conditions also guarantee that  $x_0$  is an isolated stationary point of the considered program provided a constraint qualification holds. This result has an interesting application to finite convergence of algorithms along the lines suggested by Al-Khayyal and Kyparisis.

**Key Words.** First-order optimality conditions, tangent cones, monotone multifunctions, finite convergence of algorithms.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the nonlinear programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x \in S, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the set  $S$  is given by constraints

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots, q; g_i(x) \leq 0, i = q + 1, \dots, p\}. \tag{2}$$

Suppose that the functions  $f(x)$  and  $g_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , are continuously differentiable and denote by  $I(x_0)$  the index set of active inequality constraints at  $x_0 \in S$ . Then, it is well known that the condition

$$-\nabla f(x_0) \in \text{int} \left\{ y : y = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x_0) + \sum_{i \in I(x_0)} \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x_0); \lambda_i \geq 0, i \in I(x_0) \right\} \tag{3}$$

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant DDM-91-14489.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, School of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia.

is sufficient for the point  $x_0$  to be a strictly optimal local solution of (1) in the sense that

$$f(x) > f(x_0), \quad \text{for all } x \in S, x \neq x_0,$$

in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . However, condition (3) alone does not imply that  $x_0$  is an isolated local solution of (1). Let us consider the following example which is a slight modification of an example due to Robinson (Ref. 1):

$$\min x_1, \quad (4a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_1^4 \sin^2(1/x_1) + x_2^2 \leq 0, \quad (4b)$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 \leq 0, \quad (4c)$$

$$-x_1 - x_2 \leq 0. \quad (4d)$$

The point  $x_0 = (0, 0)$  satisfies condition (3), but it is not an isolated local solution of (4). This is because the feasible set  $S$  of the program (4) is formed by a sequence of locally separated points converging to  $(0, 0)$  with every point of this sequence being a locally optimal solution of (4).

In this paper, we show that, indeed,  $x_0$  is an isolated locally optimal solution of (1) if, in addition to condition (3), a constraint qualification holds (for example, the Mangasarian-Fromovitz condition). Somewhat surprisingly, second-order conditions will not be required. This can be compared with the results of Robinson (Ref. 1) where, in the absence of assumption (3), second-order sufficient conditions were employed. We also mention some interesting applications of our results to finite convergence of algorithms along the lines suggested by Al-Khayyal and Kyparisis (Ref. 2).

Although our investigation is motivated by finite-dimensional applications, finite dimensionality of the considered linear space is not essential for derivation of our main results. Therefore, we assume henceforth that  $S$  is a closed subset of a Banach space  $X$  and denote by  $X^*$  its dual space of bounded linear functionals. For  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X^*$ , we use the notation  $\langle y, x \rangle$  for the value  $y(x)$ . We denote the distance from a point  $x$  to the set  $S$  by  $\text{dist}(x, S)$ .

It should be mentioned that condition (3) and its abstract analogue given in (6) are quite restrictive. In the finite-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , condition (3) can hold only if

$$q + \text{card}\{I(x_0)\} \geq n.$$

Condition (6) requires the interior of the normal cone  $N_S(x_0)$  to be non-empty. Moreover, in infinite-dimensional spaces, (6) never holds if the set  $S$  is defined by a finite number of constraints.

### 2. Nearly Convex Sets and First-Order Optimality Conditions

Before giving the main results of this section, we need to introduce the following definitions. It will be assumed that the function  $f(x)$  is continuously (Fréchet) differentiable with the corresponding derivative  $Df(x) \in X^*$ . Recall that the contingent (Bouligand) cone  $T_S(x)$  to the set  $S$  at a point  $x \in S$  is formed by vectors  $y$  such that there exist sequences  $x_n \in S$  and  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$ , and  $t_n^{-1}(x_n - x) \rightarrow y$ . It is well known that, if  $x_0$  is a locally optimal solution of the program (1), then

$$\langle Df(x_0), y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in T_S(x_0).$$

These first-order necessary conditions can be formulated in the equivalent form

$$-Df(x_0) \in N_S(x_0), \tag{5}$$

where

$$N_S(x) = \{z \in X^* : \langle z, y \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } y \in T_S(x)\}$$

denotes the polar cone of the contingent cone  $T_S(x)$ .

It is also not difficult to show that the condition

$$-Df(x_0) \in \text{int } N_S(x_0) \tag{6}$$

is sufficient for  $x_0$  to be a strictly optimal local solution of (1) provided the cone  $T_S(x_0)$  has the following approximating property:

$$\text{dist}(x - x_0, T_S(x_0)) = o(\|x - x_0\|), \quad x \in S. \tag{7}$$

Note that, in finite-dimensional spaces, condition (7) always holds.

This can be easily proved by standard arguments of compactness. That is, suppose that (7) is false. This will imply the existence of a sequence  $x_n \in S$  converging to  $x_0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\|x_n - x_0\|^{-1} \text{dist}(x_n - x_0, T_S(x_0)) \geq \epsilon.$$

Moreover, because of the compactness of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can assume that

$$y_n = \|x_n - x_0\|^{-1}(x_n - x_0)$$

converges to a vector  $y$ . It follows that  $y \in T_S(x_0)$ . On the other hand,

$$\text{dist}(y_n, T_S(x_0)) \geq \epsilon,$$

and hence  $y \notin T_S(x_0)$ , a contradiction.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that the set  $S$  is nearly convex at a point  $x_0 \in S$  if there exists a function  $K(x, y)$  tending to zero as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $y \rightarrow x_0$ , and such that, for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

$$\text{dist}(y - x, T_S(x)) \leq K(x, y)\|y - x\|. \tag{8}$$

**Remark 2.1.** Condition (8) can be viewed as a uniform version of the approximating property (7). If the set  $S$  is convex, then  $y - x \in T_S(x)$  for any  $y$  and  $x$  in  $S$ , and hence condition (8) follows.

We can now formulate the first result of our paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $f(x)$  is continuously differentiable, that  $S$  is nearly convex at  $x_0$ , and that condition (6) holds. Then,  $x_0$  is an isolated locally optimal solution of the problem (1).

Before giving a proof of Theorem 2.1, we shall need the result in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that  $S$  is nearly convex at  $x_0$ . Then, the multifunction  $x \rightarrow N_S(x)$  is almost monotone near  $x_0$  in the sense that, for all  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  and  $y_1 \in N_S(x_1), y_2 \in N_S(x_2)$ ,

$$\langle y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -[K(x_1, x_2)\|y_1\| + K(x_2, x_1)\|y_2\|]\|x_1 - x_2\|. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Consider  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  and  $y_1 \in N_S(x_1)$ . Since  $S$  is nearly convex, we have by the definition that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $\bar{x}_2 \in x_1 + T_S(x_1)$  such that

$$\|x_2 - \bar{x}_2\| \leq K(x_1, x_2)\|x_1 - x_2\| + \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

Because  $\bar{x}_2 - x_1 \in T_S(x_1)$ , it follows that

$$\langle y_1, \bar{x}_2 - x_1 \rangle \leq 0,$$

and by (10) we also have

$$\langle y_1, x_2 - \bar{x}_2 \rangle \leq \|y_1\|[K(x_1, x_2)\|x_1 - x_2\| + \epsilon].$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, this implies that

$$\langle y_1, x_2 - x_1 \rangle \leq K(x_1, x_2)\|y_1\|\|x_1 - x_2\|. \quad (11)$$

Similarly, for  $y_2 \in N_S(x_2)$ ,

$$\langle y_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \leq K(x_2, x_1)\|y_2\|\|x_1 - x_2\|. \quad (12)$$

Inequality (9) then follows from (11) and (12).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** By contradiction, suppose that  $x_0$  is not an isolated local solution. We have then that there is a sequence  $x_n \in S$  of local solutions converging to  $x_0$ . Now, let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence in the dual space  $X^*$  such that  $\|z_n\| = 1$  and

$$\langle z_n, x_0 - x_n \rangle = \|x_0 - x_n\|. \quad (13)$$

Since  $-Df(x_0)$  belongs to the interior of  $N_S(x_0)$ , there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, if the distance from a point  $v$  to  $-Df(x_0)$  is less than or equal to  $\epsilon$ , then  $v \in N_S(x_0)$ . Consider now

$$v_n = -Df(x_0) - \epsilon z_n.$$

We have that  $v_n \in N_S(x_0)$ . Also, by the first-order necessary conditions [see (5)],

$$-Df(x_n) \in N_S(x_n).$$

It then follows from result (9) of Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle v_n + Df(x_n), x_0 - x_n \rangle \\ &\geq -[K(x_0, x_n)\|v_n\| + K(x_n, x_0)\|Df(x_n)\|]\|x_n - x_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since sequences  $\{v_n\}$  and  $\{Df(x_n)\}$  are bounded, we have

$$\langle v_n + Df(x_n), x_0 - x_n \rangle \geq o(\|x_0 - x_n\|).$$

Then, since  $v_n = -Df(x_0) - \epsilon z_n$ , we have

$$-\epsilon \langle z_n, x_0 - x_n \rangle \geq \langle Df(x_0) - Df(x_n), x_0 - x_n \rangle + o(\|x_0 - x_n\|);$$

hence, by continuity of  $Df(x)$  and because of (13), we obtain

$$-\epsilon \|x_0 - x_n\| \geq o(\|x_0 - x_n\|).$$

But, for  $n$  large enough, the last inequality contradicts itself, and hence the proof is complete. □

**Remark 2.2.** Recall that a point  $x_0 \in S$  is a stationary point of the program (1) if condition (5) holds. We have shown that, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1,  $x_0$  is an isolated stationary point of the program (1).

Let us suppose now that the set  $S$  is given in the form

$$S = \{x \in X : g(x) \in K\}, \tag{14}$$

where  $g(x)$  is a continuously differentiable mapping from  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$  and  $K$  is a closed convex cone in  $Y$ . A point  $x_0$  is a regular point of  $g(x)$ , in the sense of Robinson (Ref. 3), if

$$0 \in \text{int}\{g(x_0) + Dg(x_0)X - K\}. \tag{15}$$

For example, if

$$X = \mathbb{R}^n, \quad Y = \mathbb{R}^p, \quad -K = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{p-q},$$

then the set  $S$  defined in (14) is the same as the one given in (2), and the regularity condition (15) is equivalent to the Mangasarian-Fromovitz (Ref. 4) constraint qualification.

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that the mapping  $g(x)$  is continuously differentiable and that  $x_0 \in S$  is a regular point of  $g(x)$ . Then, the set  $S$  is nearly convex at  $x_0$ .

**Proof.** For a point  $x \in S$ , consider the cone

$$T_S(x) = \{y: Dg(x)y \in T_K(g(x))\}. \quad (16)$$

It is known that, if  $x$  is a regular point of  $g(x)$ , then this cone is the contingent cone to  $S$  at  $x$ . This is a simple consequence of the Robinson-Ursescu (Refs. 5, 6) stability theorem and the fact that the tangent cone  $T_K(g(x))$  (in the sense of convex analysis, e.g., Ref. 7) to the convex cone  $K$  at the point  $g(x)$  is also its contingent cone (cf. Ref. 3, pp. 504–505, and Ref. 8, Section 2). Also, it follows from regularity of  $x_0$  that all points  $x$  sufficiently close to  $x_0$  are regular (e.g., Ref. 9, Theorem 2.2).

Let us observe now that, since  $K$  is convex,

$$K - g(x) \subset T_K(g(x)),$$

and hence  $T_S(x)$  contains the set

$$C(x) = \{y: Dg(x)y \in K - g(x)\} = \{y: g(x) + Dg(x)y \in K\}.$$

We have then that

$$\text{dist}(y - x, T_S(x)) \leq \text{dist}(y - x, C(x)).$$

Again by the Robinson-Ursescu stability theorem, there is a neighborhood  $N$  of  $x_0$  and a constant  $\alpha$  such that, for all  $x, y \in S \cap N$ ,

$$\text{dist}(y - x, C(x)) \leq \alpha \text{dist}(g(x) + Dg(x)(y - x), K).$$

Moreover, since  $g(y) \in K$ , we have

$$\text{dist}(g(x) + Dg(x)(y - x), K) \leq \|g(x) + Dg(x)(y - x) - g(y)\|,$$

and hence,

$$\text{dist}(y - x, T_S(x)) \leq \alpha \|g(y) - g(x) - Dg(x)(y - x)\|.$$

Since  $g(x)$  is continuously differentiable, we have by the mean value theorem that

$$\lim_{y, x \rightarrow x_0} \|y - x\|^{-1} \|g(y) - g(x) - Dg(x)(y - x)\| = 0.$$

Together with the last inequality, this implies (8), and hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, any point  $x_0 \in S$  satisfying both condition (3) and the Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification is an isolated stationary point of the mathematical programming problem (1).

Under the assumption that  $S$  is convex, the main result in Ref. 2 (see also Ref. 10) is that a convergent algorithm for finding a local solution of program (1) will terminate after solving finitely many auxiliary problems of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \nabla f(x_k)^T x, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_k$  is the sequence of generated points converging to  $x_0$ . It follows from our results that the convexity of  $S$  can be relaxed and replaced by the assumption of near convexity while maintaining the finite termination property.

Finally, we compare condition (6) with the notion of a sharp minimum (or equivalently, a strongly unique local minimum) discussed in Refs. 10 and 11. It is said that problem (1) has a sharp minimum at  $x_0$  if

$$f(x) \geq f(x_0) + \alpha \|x - x_0\|, \tag{17}$$

for all  $x \in S$  near  $x_0$  and some  $\alpha > 0$  (cf. Ref. 12). We show now that the above condition of sharp minimum essentially is equivalent to condition (6). That is, we show that conditions (6) and (7) imply that  $f(x)$  attains a sharp minimum at  $x_0$ ; conversely, if  $f(x)$  has a sharp minimum at  $x_0$ , then condition (6) follows.

Suppose that conditions (6) and (7) hold. We have then that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the ball of radius  $\epsilon$  and centered at  $-Df(x_0)$  is contained in  $N_S(x_0)$ . It follows that

$$\langle Df(x_0), y \rangle \geq \epsilon \|y\|, \quad \text{for all } y \in T_S(x_0).$$

Taking the first-order Taylor expansion of  $f(x)$  at  $x_0$  and using approximating property (7), we obtain that condition (17) follows (e.g., with  $\alpha = \epsilon/2$ ) for all  $x \in S$  near  $x_0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $f(x)$  attains a sharp minimum at  $x_0$ . Consider  $y \in T_S(x_0)$ , and let  $x_n \in S$  be a sequence converging to  $x_0$  such that  $t_n^{-1}(x_n - x_0) \rightarrow y$  for some  $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$ . It follows from (17) that, for all  $n$  large enough,

$$t_n^{-1}[f(x_n) - f(x_0)] \geq \alpha \|t_n^{-1}(x_n - x_0)\|. \tag{18}$$

By passing to the limit in (18), we obtain

$$\langle Df(x_0), y \rangle \geq \alpha \|y\|, \quad \text{for all } y \in T_S(x_0).$$

This implies that the ball of radius  $\alpha$  and centered at  $-Df(x_0)$  is contained in  $N_S(x_0)$ , and hence condition (6) follows.

## References

1. ROBINSON, S. M., *Generalized Equations and Their Solutions, Part 2: Applications to Nonlinear Programming*, Mathematical Programming Study, Vol. 19, pp. 200–221, 1982.
2. AL-KHAYYAL, F. A., and KYPARISIS, J., *Finite Convergence of Algorithms for Nonlinear Programs and Variational Inequalities*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 70, pp. 317–330, 1991.
3. ROBINSON, S. M., *Stability Theory for Systems of Inequalities, Part 2: Differentiable Nonlinear Systems*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, Vol. 13, pp. 497–513, 1976.
4. MANGASARIAN, O. L., and FROMOVITZ, S., *The Fritz John Necessary Optimality Conditions in the Presence of Equality and Inequality Constraints*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 7, pp. 37–47, 1967.
5. ROBINSON, S. M., *Regularity and Stability for Convex Multivalued Functions*, Mathematics of Operations Research, Vol. 1, pp. 130–143, 1976.
6. URSESCU, C., *Multifunctions with Convex Closed Graph*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 25, pp. 438–441, 1975.
7. AUBIN, J. P., and EKELAND, L., *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, Wiley, New York, New York, 1984.
8. MAURER, H., and ZOWE, J., *First- and Second-Order Necessary and Sufficient Optimality Conditions for Infinite-Dimensional Programming Problems*, Mathematical Programming, Vol. 16, pp. 98–110, 1979.
9. ALT, W., *Stability of Solutions for a Class of Nonlinear Cone Constrained Optimization Problems, Part 1: Basic Theory*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, Vol. 10, pp. 1053–1064, 1989.
10. FERRIS, M. C., and MANGASARIAN, O. L., *Minimum Principle Sufficiency*, Mathematical Programming, Vol. 57, pp. 1–14, 1992.
11. BURKE, V. J., and FERRIS, M. C., *Weak Sharp Minima in Mathematical Programming*, Technical Report 1050, Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1991.
12. POLYAK, B. T., *Introduction to Optimization*, Optimization Software, Publications Division, New York, New York, 1987.